Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09291393)

Applied Soil Ecology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apsoil

Short communication

Shifts in ectomycorrhizal fungal community composition during the early establishment of native and exotic pine seedlings

Chen Ning^{[a](#page-0-0),[b](#page-0-1)[,c,](#page-0-2)}*, Louise M. Egerton-Warburton^{b,[c](#page-0-2)}, Gregory M. Mueller^{b[,c](#page-0-2)}, Wenhua Xiang^a, Wende Y[a](#page-0-0)n^a, Shuguang Liu^a

a Faculty of Life Science and Technology, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha 410004, Hunan, China

^b Plant Biology and Conservation, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

^c Negaunee Institute for Plant Conservation Science and Action, Chicago Botanic Garden, 1000 Lake Cook Rd, Glencoe, IL 60022, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Ectomycorrhizal fungi Community assembly Afforestation Mesocosm Rhizopogon Russula

ABSTRACT

Exotic pine trees are often introduced to novel places worldwide. How exotic pines interact with local microbial communities, such as symbiotic ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi, during early establishment compared to interactions by their native counterparts remains to be examined in detail. In this study, native masson pine (Pinus massoniana) and exotic slash pine (Pinus elliottii) seedlings were grown in field-collected soil cores. ECM root tips were collected 3- and 6-months after planting and fungi were identified using high-throughput sequencing. We found that host identity was a key factor determining ECM fungal community assembly after 3-months: native pines associated with Rhizopogon while exotic pines associated primarily with ECM generalists. After 6-months growth, however, ECM fungal communities in native and exotic pines were both dominated by Rhizopogon species. We also found that host selections of several pioneer ECM fungi shared by both native and exotic pines may facilitate an exotic pine's establishment. These findings indicate that more attention should be paid to ECM fungal community shuffling by exotic trees during early establishment and, in turn, the influence of the potential changes in ECM fungal communities on local forest ecosystems.

1. Introduction

Pine (Pinus) trees have been widely used in forestry and afforestation initiatives because of their relatively short generation time, high quality wood, and local pathogen resistance [\(Richardson and Higgins,](#page-4-0) [1998\)](#page-4-0). When introducing non-native pines in new areas, the interactions between pines and symbiotic soil microbes, such as in ectomycorrhizal (ECM) symbiosis, may play an important role in the local naturalization process ([Smith and Read, 2008](#page-4-1); [Moyano et al., 2020](#page-4-2)). Most studies have sought to understand the abiotic and biotic factors controlling native versus non-native pine establishment. For instance, non-native pines associate with a relatively low number of ECM species in the non-native system, and the ecological traits of these ECM fungi (e.g. exploration strategy, dispersal, nutrient uptake) can enhance the success of pines in new ranges ([Policelli et al., 2019\)](#page-4-3). The reported evidence also indicates that the ECM fungal communities in native and exotic pines may be regulated by phylogenetic distance of the trees ([Kohout et al., 2011;](#page-4-4) [Trocha et al., 2012;](#page-4-5) [Nguyen et al., 2016](#page-4-6); [Ning](#page-4-7) [et al., 2019\)](#page-4-7). For example, various pine species formed distinctive ECM fungal community even when grown with the same ECM species pool

⁎ Corresponding author at: 498 S Shaoshan Road, Changsha, Hunan, China. E-mail address: ningchen0059@163.com (C. Ning).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103722> Received 13 May 2020; Received in revised form 21 July 2020; Accepted 23 July 2020 Available online 17 August 2020 0929-1393/ © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

([Rusca et al., 2006](#page-4-8)). In addition, the subgenera of Pinus (hard pine) and Strobus (soft pine) have been shown to express different sets of functional genes when associating with compatible/incompatible ECM fungal partners ([Liao et al., 2016](#page-4-9)). Finally, abiotic (e.g. drought, [Patterson et al., 2018](#page-4-10)) and biotic factors (e.g. host root structure, [Velmala et al., 2014;](#page-5-0) ECM fungal life history variations, [Kennedy et al.,](#page-4-11) [2011\)](#page-4-11), as well as ECM symbiotic functioning ([Hortal et al., 2017](#page-4-12); [Ning](#page-4-13) [et al., 2020](#page-4-13)) may impact the composition of recruited ECM communities in exotic pines. However, we have considerably less information on the temporal patterns of ECM-pine interactions, especially on how introduced species do host-specific selections for native (local) during their early establishment.

In this study, we examined the interactions between local ECM fungal communities and a widespread plantation pine (Pinus elliottii) in China during early establishment through a greenhouse experiment. In subtropical areas of China, plantations comprise nearly 69 million hectares (\sim 36%) of the total forest coverage. Native masson pine (Pinus massoniana) is one of the most dominant native species in silviculture. However, nearly 2.2 million hectares have been planted with non-native slash pine (Pinus elliottii; [SFA, 2014\)](#page-4-14). Therefore, monitoring how

Fig. 1. Relative abundance of ectomycorrhizal genera in (a) resident communities in soil samples and (b) colonization of pine seedlings in greenhouse experiments comparing combinations of Sites (Masson-N – masson pine natural forest, Masson-PL - masson pine plantation, Slash-PL - slash pine planation), Hosts (masson-ma, slash-sl) and collecting times. Each bar represents the total proportion of DNA sequences in each treatment combination assigned to each genus. (c) OTU network map of ectomycorrhizal fungal communities among resident soil and seedling roots. Each point represents an independent fungal OTU. Edge thickness was weighted by the frequency (proportion of present samples to total samples) from the OTU to the specific sampling scenario.

exotic pines impact on local ECM fungal communities and whether they can induce new ecological problems is a vital task for local forest management. Here, we applied Illumina MiSeq sequencing methods to investigate the resident (soil) and recovered seedling ECM fungal communities in native and slash pines to address two questions: (1) to what extent does the ECM fungal community on establishing exotic pine seedlings diverge from that on establishing native pine seedlings?

(2) are there specific fungi that disproportionately survive the early establishment process of native versus exotic pine hosts?

2. Materials and methods

Our sampling sites are located in Longli Forest Farm, Guizhou, China (26°22′ ~ 26°45′ N, 106°45′ ~ 107°11′E). Soil cores from three 20 year-old forests that differed in origin and management regimes were collected: (1) a semi-natural forest of P. massoniana (subsect. Pinus; abbreviated as Masson-N) that spontaneously regenerated after a forest fire, (2) P. massoniana plantation (Masson-PL), and (3) P. elliottii plantation (subsect. Australes; Slash-PL). Details of soil sampling, experiment design and seedling planting can be found in [Ning et al.](#page-4-13) [\(2020\).](#page-4-13) The total number of soil samples for this study was 108 (4 cores \times 3 focal trees \times 3 plots \times 3 sites) plus 6 additional soil cores from each site that served as controls (18 cores). At the beginning of the experiment, a sub-sample of soil from each 'focal' tree was collected (3 trees \times 3 plots \times 3 sites = 27 samples) for subsequent DNA extraction and analysis of the resident ECM fungal community. All potted soil samples were seeded with either native masson pine or exotic slash pine seeds and thinned after germination to keep a total of 8 seedlings per pot. Because masson and slash pines are fast-growing, the seedlings were harvested at 3- and 6-months after germination to quantify ECM colonization and examine fungal communities through Illumina MiSeq sequencing (see Supplemental methods for fungal community sampling and sequencing). Sequences of sample data are deposited in the NCBI sequence read archive with accession number SRP140037.

3. Statistical analysis

Patterns in ECM fungal community composition were analyzed and visualized with nonparametric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) using Bray-Curtis distance. To examine variation in composition of ECM fungi with respect to Site, Host as well as Site \times Host interactions, we used program Primer v7 with PERMANOVA+ (PRIMERE Ltd., Lutton, Ivybridge, UK) on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices. Plot identity nested in Site and 'focal' tree identity nested in Plot as random factors were included in the mixed model. By using the presence-absence data, we conducted network-based visualization (Cytoscape 3.7.1; [Cline](#page-4-15) [et al., 2007\)](#page-4-15) to identify the relative proportion of ECM fungi shared between native and exotic pines, and between seedlings and the resident ECM community. The ECM exploration types, colonization type ('spore/sclerotia-based' or 'hyphae-based') and possible native ranges (based on UNITE species hypothesis v8.0) of ECM taxa were listed in Table S1 based on previous greenhouse/field bioassay studies (e.g. [Taylor and Bruns, 1999\)](#page-4-16).

We also followed a multinomial probability distribution method in [Glassman et al. \(2016\)](#page-4-17) to determine if the frequency of certain ECM fungi increased or decreased in response to host-specific selection between 3-months and 6-months harvests. By transferring the presence data to probabilities (number of pots each ECM fungus gained, lost or had no change/total number of pots) of those three aforementioned transitions, chi-squared goodness-of-fit tests were applied to screen for ECM species where losses and/or gains between two harvest phases exceeded 10%. Three possible transitions using presence of each ECM fungal taxon in each bioassay seedling pot as the reference condition: loss (present 3-months, absent 6-months), no change (present or absent in both two collecting times) and gain (absent 3-months, present 6 months). Significant probabilities of gain, loss or no change are not mutually exclusive, suggesting that fungal species can have variation in response to certain conditions.

4. Results

All seedlings were well-colonized by ECM fungi. Root colonization increased in masson pine seedlings from $37.2 \pm 2.5\%$ (3-months) to 64.8 \pm 3.6% (6-months), and in slash pine (56.9 \pm 2.2% after 3months, $75 \pm 2.9\%$ after 6-months).

After quality filtering there were 10,267,131 sequences for downstream analysis, with an average of $36,800 \pm 279$ (mean \pm SE) reads per sample, and clustered into 517 OTUs. Sixty eight percent of the sequences (6,970,167) were identified as 83 putative ECM fungal OTUs. Species accumulation curves showed that most samples were well

saturated for ECM fungal species (Fig. S1), with average richness of 21 ECM fungal OTUs per soil sample and 8 OTUs per seedling.

Species of Russula were well represented in all resident ECM fungal communities [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)a), and abundances ranged from 19.4% sequencing reads in Masson-N to 40.4% in Slash-PL, and 64% in Masson-PL. Masson pines hosted Tomentella (12–25.6%) in combination with Clavulina (47.3% Masson-N) or Pseudotomentella (16.8% Masson-PL), whereas the slash pine resident community (Slash-PL) was dominated by Amphinema (37.9%) and Pseudotomentella (11%).

Significant differences among assembled ECM communities were determined by Sites ($p = 0.018$, PERMANOVA) and *Host* identity $(p = 0.014$, PERMANOVA) after 3-months, whereas only *Host* identity $(p = 0.008, PERMANOVA)$ was key factor influencing ECM community composition of seedlings after 6-months (Table S2, Fig. S2). There were also significant spatial effects (tree location; $p = 0.001$ for 'focal' tree identity nested in Plot) on recruited ECM community composition of seedlings. In soils collected from Masson-N and Masson-PL sites, ECM communities of masson pine seedlings in both Phases were characterized by an abundance of Rhizopogon (56.8–68.3% of sequencing reads), Tomentella (17.5–32.1%) and Tylospora (2.6–9.2%), whereas communities in slash pine were composed of Rhizopogon (4.2–54%), Tomentella (25.9–45.8%), Thelephora (5.3–26.4%), and Russula (0.3–26.9%) ([Fig.](#page-1-0) 1b). In Slash-PL soils, ECM communities in masson pine were dominated by Rhizopogon (68.3–71.3%), whereas communities in slash pines were initially dominated by Amphinema (56.8%) but switched to Rhizopogon-dominated (64%) after 6-months. There was negligible colonization (< 10%) in the 18 sterilized control pots due to a common greenhouse ECM contaminant species, Thelephora terrestris (OTU1214, see also [Velmala et al., 2014](#page-5-0)).

By the end of 6-months, we recovered 70% (51/73) of ECM fungal communities for masson pine and 80% (56/73) for slash pine seedlings of the community documented at the sampling sites. Exotic slash pine seedlings also seemed to adapt well to subset of either local or cosmopolitan ECM fungal partners (Table S1). Ten ECM fungal OTUs (Russula OTU1352, Russula OTU1357, Elaphomyces OTU325, Hydellum OTU1257, Hebeloma OTU1193, Tomentella OTU1225, Amphinema OTU1112, Lactarius OTU1171, Wilcoxina OTU531, and Phellodon OTU1462) were only found in slash pine, whereas 5 OTUs (Sebacina OTU1430, Clavulina OTU1184, Lactarius OTU1341, Inocybe OTU1316, and Entoloma OTU1300) were only found in masson pine seedlings ([Fig. 1c](#page-1-0)).

According to the Monte Carlo multinomial probability simulation, 16 OTUs associated with masson pine (e.g. all four Rhizopogon OTUs) and 10 OTUs associated with slash pine (e.g. Rhizopogon OTU1297) had stable associations with their host species (ranging from 59% to 91% probability) [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0); Supplementary Tables S3–S6). On the other hand, of those taxa associating with masson pine seedlings, the frequency of Chloridium OTU711 significantly decreased after 6-months, whereas 8 OTUs (e.g. Russula OTU1367) had an 11–54% probability of increasing. In contrast, 5 OTUs associating with slash pine declined in frequency from 3-months to 6-months (e.g. Tylospora OTU1494) and 6 OTUs (e.g. Rhizopogon OTU1463) identified had a 13–72% probability of increasing. The frequencies of Rhizopogon OTU1298, Tomentella OTU1219, and Amphinema OTU1288 varied among samples suggesting that these taxa varied in response to certain conditions.

5. Discussion

In the early stage (3-months) of seedling establishment, Sites provided various inocula pools that satisfied the host preference, e.g., consistent dominance of Rhizopogon in masson pine and optional combinations between slash pine and local ECM fungi (e.g. Russula and Thelephora in Masson-PL site versus Amphinema in Slash-PL site). By 6 months, however, only Host identity had a significant influence on ECM composition and species' dominance.

The strong effect of host on ECM fungal community recruitment in

Fig. 2. Rank abundance curve by frequency for ectomycorrhizal fungal OTUs (total frequency > 6) recovered with (a) masson pine and (b) slash pine seedlings in 3-months and 6-months. The axes represent each ECM fungal OTU per total number of samples for either 3-months or 6-months bioassay seedlings. Upside marks indicate the probability of gain (+), loss (−), and gain and loss (*) of each OTU two collecting time changes based on transition analysis. Other OTUs had a significant probability of no change, i.e., a significant probability of no gain or loss.

soils containing the same species pools is in general agreement with other studies, especially for those comparing pine hosts (e.g. [Rusca](#page-4-8) [et al., 2006;](#page-4-8) [Kohout et al., 2011](#page-4-4); [Liao et al., 2016;](#page-4-9) [Ning et al., 2020](#page-4-13)). Such variation among pine species may be driven by the (in)compatible interaction between host and fungal partner, which was attributed to their co-evolutionary history ([Liao et al., 2016](#page-4-9)). Our study also provides strong evidence that even at the subsection-level, different hosts (subsect. Pinus versus Australes) can recruit different ECM fungal communities

We also found that the introduction of exotic slash pine appeared to facilitate the shift of the local ECM species pool with a positive interaction with Rhizopogon. This was especially notable in slash pine. The observation of Rhizopogon dominance combined with our previous field investigations [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)a and b; [Ning et al., 2019](#page-4-7)) are consistent with other findings of the predominance of Rhizopogon spp. in greenhouse seedlings but sharply contrasts with data from forest soil communities ([Taylor and Bruns, 1999](#page-4-16); [Glassman et al., 2016](#page-4-17)). Dominant ECM fungal species in bioassays usually correspond to the fungi that colonize naturally regenerating seedlings after severe disturbance in sites, such as fire and clear-cutting (e.g. [Cowan et al., 2016;](#page-4-18) [Glassman et al., 2016](#page-4-17); [Walker et al., 2016](#page-5-1)), and from extensive and long-lived soil spore banks of resistant propagules. Thus, it is reasonable to suggest that seedlings planted into regenerating exotic pine plantations may show increased colonization by ECM fungi held in soil spore banks, with taxa such as Rhizopogon spp. becoming the predominant ECM fungi if seedlings are planted after large-scale disturbance ([Bruns et al., 2009\)](#page-4-19). This ability also appears to be an important factor in facilitating dispersal and expansion of exotic tree species by tens or hundreds of kilometers outside of their planted/managed ranges [\(Hayward et al., 2015](#page-4-20); [Urcelay et al.,](#page-5-2) [2017\)](#page-5-2).

On the other hand, this shift may reflect a change in the functional needs of the host since hosts can select beneficial mutualists from less beneficial counterparts [\(Hortal et al., 2017\)](#page-4-12). In our previous study on enzymatic functioning in ECM fungal groups [\(Ning et al., 2020\)](#page-4-13), we found that Rhizopogon spp. on slash pine seedlings showed a higher capacity for organic N and P mobilization (26–46% of relative contributions to the total root system enzymatic activities) in comparison with other ECM species, which tightly bounded to biomass accumulation and carbon content of hosts. Those high contributions enhanced the total enzymatic activities of exotic pine roots with a comparable level of native masson pines. Therefore, selection of high efficiency partners may be an important strategy for exotic slash pine to establish

in the local areas.

Host traits such as fine root growth patterns may also explain the different host-fungi interaction patterns between masson and slash pine. Slash pine seedlings provided a higher number of sites available for ECM fungal colonization as their mean short-root density was constantly higher than native masson pine's (3-months: 94 ± 12 for masson, 129 ± 16 for slash; 6-months: 233 ± 34 for masson, 494 \pm 73 for slash), which may increase the potential for colonization by ECM fungi [\(Kennedy et al., 2009\)](#page-4-21). This pattern of colonization could be expected to produce dense clusters of Rhizopogon ECM that prevented colonization by other ECM taxa. It is also possible that Rhizopogon (esp OTU1463) are very competitive, and part of the success may be due to fast rates of ECM root colonization (priority competitive effects; [Kennedy et al., 2009\)](#page-4-21) and/or as low-C consumers [\(Peay, 2016\)](#page-4-22).

While Rhizopogon spp. were persistent in association with native masson pine, other ECM taxa, including Russula, Tomentella and Tylospora, were abundant on the roots of slash pine. The relatively 'stable' abundances of these fungi in this study and their broad host ranges (e.g. [Looney et al., 2016\)](#page-4-23) suggests that they are important fungi in the recovery of forest ecosystems. The use of 100% field collected soil core method ('mesocosm') for bioassay, with its full spectrum of fungal inocula including spores, hyphae and mycorrhizal roots, allowed us to detect these 'late successional' ECM fungi that disperse using vegetative mycelium to colonize new roots ([Avis and Charvat, 2005](#page-4-24); [Kohout et al.,](#page-4-4) [2011;](#page-4-4) [Avis et al., 2017\)](#page-4-25). Their considerable contributions to the total enzymatic activities of slash pine seedlings (e.g. Russula: 10–27%, Tomentella: 20–28%, Tylospora: 22–28%) and their distinct soil organic matter degradation patterns thus seems applicable for exotic tree establishment ([Ning et al., 2020\)](#page-4-13). However, cautions should be paid if some high efficiently functioning cosmopolitan/non-native ECM fungi (e.g. Tomentella OTU1214, Tomentella OTU1224) are preferentially selected by exotic pine, which may suppress the colonization chance of other local ECM species.

6. Conclusions

Using a bioassay method with natural soil cores, we found distinct ECM fungal community assembly patterns between native and exotic pine hosts in the early establishment process, even though communities of both hosts were largely dominated by Rhizopogon spp. Local Rhizopogon spp. are likely important mutualistic partners for forest regeneration of both native and exotic pine species in South China as has been reported elsewhere. Importantly, the introduction of an exotic pine was shown to potentially facilitate the shift of the local ECM fungal species pool by randomly or unstably interacting with available symbionts. In view of this result, caution is needed when using exotic hosts in afforestation process because the potential shift of assembled ECM fungal community under exotic trees may alter below ground functional capabilities and symbiosis-mediated successional trajectories.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103722) doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103722.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Sydney Glassman, Carrie Levine, and John Battles for their help with the statistical methods and data presentation of the inter-Phase change part. We thank the assistance of Xiaowei Ni, Zhizhou Liu, Yi Chen, Xinghao Huang, and Juan Cao for the help in the field and laboratory. This study was sponsored by the Natural Science Foundation of China (31570447) and (31901118), program of National Key (cultivating) Disciplines at Central South University of Forestry and

Technology, the collaborative program in Plant Biology and Conservation of Northwestern University and Chicago Botanic Garden, and the China Scholarship Council (CSC201408430072, awarded to C.N.).

References

- [Avis, P.G., Charvat, I., 2005. The response of ectomycorrhizal fungal inoculum to long](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0005)[term increases in nitrogen supply. Mycologia 97, 329](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0005)–337.
- [Avis, P.G., Meier, I.C., Phillips, R.P., 2017. An intact soil core bioassay for cultivating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0010) [forest ectomycorrhizal rungal communities. In: Lukac, M., Grenni, P., Gamboni, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0010) [\(Eds.\), Soil Biological Communities and Ecosystem Resilience. Springer-Verlag, New](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0010) [York, USA, pp. 173](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0010)–190.
- [Bruns, T.D., Peay, K.G., Boynton, P.J., Grubisha, L.C., Hynson, N.A., Nguyen, N.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0015) [Rosenstock, N.P., 2009. Inoculum potential of Rhizopogon spores increases with time](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0015) over the fi[rst 4 year of a 99-year spore burial experiment. New Phytol. 181, 463](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0015)–470.
- [Cline, M.S., Smoot, M., Cerami, E., Kuchinsky, A., Landys, N., Workman, C., Christmas, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020) [Avila-Campilo, I., Creech, M., Gross, B., Hanspers, K., Isserlin, R., Kelley, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020) [Killcoyne, S., Lotia, S., Maere, S., Morris, J., Ono, K., Pavlovic, V., Pico, A.R., Vailaya,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020) [A., Wang, P.L., Adler, A., Conklin, B.R., Hood, L., Kuiper, M., Sander, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020) [Schmulevich, I., Schwikowski, B., Warner, G.J., Ideker, T., Bader, G.D., 2007.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020) [Integration of biological networks and gene expression data using Cytoscape. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020)
- [Protoc. 2, 2366](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0020)–2382. [Cowan, A., Smith, J., Fitzgerald, S.A., 2016. Recovering lost ground: e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0025)ffects of soil burn [intensity on nutrients and ectomycorrhiza communities of ponderosa pine seedlings.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0025) [For. Ecol. Manag. 378, 160](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0025)–172.
- [Glassman, S.I., Levine, C.R., DiRocco, A.M., Battles, J.J., Bruns, T.D., 2016.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0035) [Ectomycorrhizal fungal spore bank recovery after a severe forest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0035) fire: some like it hot. [ISME J. 10, 1228](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0035)–1239.
- [Hayward, J., Horton, T.R., Nuñez, M.A., 2015. Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0040)[invading with Pinaceae host plants in Argentina: Gringos bajo el bosque. New Phytol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0040) [208, 497](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0040)–506.
- [Hortal, S., Plett, K.L., Plett, J.M., Cresswell, T., Johansen, M., Pendall, E., Anderson, I.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0045) 2017. Role of plant–[fungal nutrient trading and host control in determining the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0045) [competitive success of ectomycorrhizal fungi. ISME J. 11, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0045)–11.
- [Kennedy, P.G., Peay, K.G., Bruns, T.D., 2009. Root tip competition among ectomycor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0050)rhizal fungi: are priority eff[ects a rule or an exception? Ecology 90, 2098](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0050)–2107.
- [Kennedy, P.G., Higgins, L.M., Rogers, R.H., Weber, M.G., 2011. Colonization-competition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0055) tradeoff[s as a mechanism driving successional dynamics in ectomycorrhizal fungal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0055) [communities. PLoS One 6, e25126.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0055)
- [Kohout, P., Sykorova, Z., Bahram, M., Hadincova, V., Alberchtova, J., Tedersoo, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0060) [Vohnik, M., 2011. Ericaceous dwarf shrub a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0060)ffect ectomycorrhizal fungal community of the invasive Pinus strobus and native Pinus sylvestris [in a pot experiment.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0060) [Mycorrhiza 21, 403](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0060)–412.
- [Liao, H.L., Chen, Y., Vilgalys, R., 2016. Metatranscriptomic study of common and host](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0070)specifi[c patterns of gene expression between Pines and their symbiotic ectomycor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0070)[rhizal fungi in the genus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0070) Suillus. PLoS Genet. 12, 1–25.
- [Looney, B.P., Ryberg, M., Hampe, F., Sánchez-García, M., Matheny, P.B., 2016. Into and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0075) out of the tropicas: global diversifi[cation patterns in a hyperdiverse clade of ecto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0075)[mycorrhizak fungi. Mol. Ecol. 25, 630](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0075)–647.
- Moyano, [J., Rodriguez-Cabal, M.A., Nuñez, M.A., 2020. Highly invasive tree species are](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0080) [more dependent on mutualisms. Ecology 101, e02997](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0080).
- [Nguyen, N.H., Williams, L., Vincent, J.B., Stefanski, A., Cavender-Bares, J., Messier, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0085) [Paquette, A., Gravel, D., Reich, P.B., Kennedy, P.G., 2016. Ectomycorrhizal and sa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0085)[protrophic fungal diversity are linked to di](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0085)fferent tree community attributes in a fi[eld-based tree experiment. Mol. Ecol. 25, 612](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0085)–625.
- [Ning, C., Mueller, G.M., Egerton-Warburton, L.M., Yan, W., Xiang, W., 2019. Host phy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0090)[logenetic relatedness and soil nutrients shape ectomycorrhizal community composi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0090)[tion in native and exotic pine plantations. Forests 10, 263](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0090).
- [Ning, C., Xiang, W., Mueller, G.M., Egerton-Warburton, L.M., Yan, W., Liu, S., 2020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0095) Diff[erences in ectomycorrhizal community assembly between native and exotic pines](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0095) are refl[ected in their enzymatic functional capacities. Plant Soil 446, 179](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0095)–193.
- [Patterson, A., Flores-Rentería, L., Whipple, A., Whitaham, T., Gehring, C., 2018. Common](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0100) [garden experiments disentangle plant genetic and environmental contributions to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0100) [ectomycorrhizal fungal community structure. New Phytol. 211, 493](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0100)–502.
- [Peay, K.G., 2016. The mutualistic niche: mycorrhizal symbiosis and community dy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0105)[namics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 47, 143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0105)–164.
- [Policelli, N., Bruns, T.D., Vilgalys, R., Nuñez, M.A., 2019. Suilloid fungi as global drivers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0110) [of pine invasions. New Phytol. 222, 714](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0110)–725.
- [Richardson, D.M., Higgins, S.I., 1998. Pines as invaders in the southern hemisphere. In:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0115) [Richardson, D.M. \(Ed.\), Ecology and Biogeography of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0115) Pinus. Cambridge University [Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 450](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0115)–473.
- [Rusca, T.A., Kennedy, P.G., Bruns, T.D., 2006. The e](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0120)ffect of different pine hosts on the sampling of Rhizopogon spore banks in fi[ve Eastern Sierra Nevada forests. New](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0120) [Phytol. 170, 551](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0120)–560.
- [SFA \(State Forestry Administration P.R. China\), 2014. National Forest Resources Statistics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0125) [\(2009-2013\). NFI 8th. State Forestry Administration P.R. Beijing, China](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0125).
- [Smith, S.E., Read, D.J., 2008. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Academic Press, London, UK.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0130)
- [Taylor, D.L., Bruns, T.D., 1999. Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0135) Pinus muricata [forest: minimal overlap between the mature forest and resistant propagule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0135) [communities. Mol. Ecol. 8, 1837](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0135)–1850.
- Trocha, L.K., Kalucka, I., Stasiń[ska, M., Nowak, W., Dabert, M., Leski, T., Rudawska, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0140) [Oleksyn, J., 2012. Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities of native and non-native](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0140) Pinus and Quercus [species in a common garden of 35-year-old trees. Mycorrhiza 22,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0140)

121–[134](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0140).

[Urcelay, C., Longo, S., Geml, J., Tecco, P.A., Nouhra, E., 2017. Co-invasive exotic pines](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0145) [and their ectomycorrhizal symbionts show capabilities for wide distance and altitu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0145)[dinal range expansion. Fungal Ecol. 25, 50](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0145)–58. [Velmala, S., Rajala, T., Heinonsalo, J., Taylor, A.F.S., Pennanen, T., 2014. Pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0150)filing

[functions of ectomycorrhizal diversity and root structuring in seedlings of Norway](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0150) spruce (Picea abies[\) with fast-and slow-growing phenotypes. New Phytol. 201,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0150) 610–[622](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0150).

[Walker, J.K.M., Ward, V., Jones, M.D., 2016. Ectomycorrhizal fungal exoenzyme activity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0155) diff[ers on spruce seedlings planted in forests versus clearcuts. Trees 30, 497](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0929-1393(20)30651-X/rf0155)–508.